#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JEANA BARENBOIM, JOSE ORTIZ, and **REVANS RAGBIR on behalf of themselves** and others similarly situated,

INDEX NO: 08-cv-3318 (LTS)

Plaintiffs,

MOTION TO ADMIT COUNSEL PRO **HAC VICE** 

12 20 - CSOM 2

STARBUCKS CORPORATION,

Defendant.

PURSUANT TO RULE 1.3(c) of the Local Rules of the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, I, a member in good standing of the bar of this Court, hereby move for an Order allowing the admission pro hac vice of:

Applicant's Name:

v.

Shannon Liss-Riordan

Firm Name:

Pyle, Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg & Liss-Riordan, P.C.

Address:

18 Termont Street, Suite 500

City/State/Zip:

Boston, MA 02108

Phone Number:

(617) 367-7200 (617) 367-4820

Fax Number:

Email:

sliss@prle.com

Shannon Liss-Riordan is a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Massachusetts.

There are no pending disciplinary proceedings against Shannon Liss-Riordan in any State or Federal court.

Dated:

May **§**, 2008

City, State:

New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

Bv:

Maimon Kirschenbaum (DK 2338)

Joseph & Herzfeld, LLP

757 Third Avenue, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10017

(212) 688-5640 phone

(212) 688-2548 fax

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JEANA BARENBOIM, JOSE ORTIZ, and REVANS RAGBIR on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,

INDEX NO: 08-cv-3318 (LTS)

Plaintiffs,

AFFIDAVIT OF MAIMON
KIRSCHENBAUM IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO ADMIT COUNSEL PRO
HAC VICE

v.

#### STARBUCKS CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Maimon Kirschenbaum, being duly sworn, hereby deposes and says as follows:

- 1. I am a member of Joseph & Herzfeld, LLP, counsel for Plaintiff in the above captioned action. I am familiar with the proceedings in this case. I make this statement based on my personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and in support of Plaintiff's motion to admit Shannon Liss-Riordan as counsel pro hac vice to represent Plaintiffs in this matter.
- 2. I am a member in good standing of the bar of the State of New York, and was admitted to practice law in 2006. I am also admitted to the bar of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and am in good standing with this Court.
- 3. I have known Shannon Liss-Riordan for several weeks.
- 4. Shannon Liss-Riordan is a member of Pyle, Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg & Liss-Riordan, P.C.
- 5. I have found Ms. Liss-Riordan to be a skilled attorney and a person of integrity. She is experienced in Federal practice and is familiar with the Federal Rules of Procedure.
- 6. Accordingly, I am pleased to move the admission of Shannon Liss-Riordan, pro hac vice.
- 7. I respectfully submit a proposed order granting the admission of Shannon Liss-Riordan, pro hac vice, which is attached hereto.

WHEREFORE it is respectfully requested that the motion to admit Shannon Liss-Riordan, pro hac vice, to represent Plaintiffs in the above captioned matter, be granted.

Dated:

City, State:

May 7, 2008 New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Maimon Kirschenbaum (DK 2338)

Joseph & Herzfeld, LLP

757 Third Avenue, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10017

(212) 688-5640 phone

(212) 688-2548 fax

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JEANA BARENBOIM, JOSE ORTIZ, and **REVANS RAGBIR on behalf of themselves** and others similarly situated.

INDEX NO: 08-cv-3318 (LTS)

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC** VICE ON WRITTEN MOTION

v.

#### STARBUCKS CORPORATION,

Defendant.
X

Upon the motion of Maimon Kirschenbaum attorney for Jeana Barenboim, Jose Ortiz, and Revans Ragbir, and others similarly situated, and said sponsor attorney's affidavit in support;

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

Applicant's Name:

Shannon Liss-Riordan

Firm Name:

Pyle, Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg & Liss-Riordan, P.C.

Address:

18 Termont Street, Suite 500

City/State/Zip:

Boston, MA 02108

Phone Number:

(617) 367-7200

Fax Number:

(617) 367-4820

Email:

sliss@prle.com

is admitted to practice pro hac vice as counsel for Jeana Barenboim, Jose Ortiz, and Revans Ragbir, and others similarly situated in the above captioned case in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. All attorneys appearing before this Court are subject to the Local Rules of this Court, including the Rules governing discipline of attorneys. If this action is assigned to the Electronic Case Filing (ECF) system, counsel shall immediately apply for an ECF password at nysd.uscourts.gov. Counsel shall forward the pro hac vice fee to the Clerk of Court.

Dated:

City, State: New York, New York

United States District/Magistrate Judge

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on May  $\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{2}$ , 2008, a copy of the foregoing was sent via certified mail, postage prepaid, to:

Starbucks Corporation c/o United States Corporation Company 80 State Street Albany, New York 12207

D. Maimon Kirschenbaum (DK-2338)

Joseph & Herzfeld LLP 757 Third Avenue 25<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10017 (212) 688-5640 (212) 688-2548 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff, proposed collective action members and proposed class

### **COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS**

SUFFOLK, SS.

BE IT REMEMBERED, that at the Supreme Judicial Court holden at Boston within and for said County of Suffolk, on the fourth

day of January A.D. 1999, said Court being the highest

Court of Record in said Commonwealth:

## Shannon Liss-Riordan

being found duly qualified in that behalf, and having taken and subscribed the oaths required by law, was admitted to practice as an Attorney, and, by virtue thereof, as a Counsellor at Law, in any of the Courts of the said Commonwealth: that said Attorney is at present a member of the Bar, and is in good standing according to the records of this Court\*.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the

seal of said Court, this fifth day of May

in the year of our Lord two thousand and eight.

MAUR & S. DOYLE, I Clerk

<sup>\*</sup> Records of private discipline, if any, such as a private reprimand imposed by the Board of Bar Overseers or by any court, are not covered by this certification.